

REMARKS

Claims 4, 12-18, 23, 25-26, 30-36, 41, 43, 45, 49-55, 60, and 62-80 are pending in the present application. New dependent claims 81-90 have been added.

I. Examiner Interview

Applicant held an examiner interview with Examiner Cesar Paula on April 16, 2008, which was attended by the undersigned, Pejman Sharifi. In the examiner interview, the pending rejections with respect to the written description requirement under Section 112 were discussed. No agreement was reached. No exhibits or demonstrations were used. Examiner Paula disagreed with Applicant's identification of support in the specification but indicated that an amendment that shows direct support of the subject matter in question would overcome the Section 112 rejection and result in allowance.

II. Allowable Subject Matter

The Office Action states that claims 4, 10, 12-18, 23, 25-26, 28, 30-36, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49-55, 60, 62, and 66-80 are allowable except for being dependent on a rejected base claim. Applicant acknowledges the allowability.

III. Rejections

In the Office Action, claims 4, 12-18, 23, 25-26, 30-36, 41, 43, 45, 49-55, 60, 62-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph and also under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Therefore, independent claims 62-65 are allowable over the cited art and the claims depending therefrom, claims 4, 12-18, 23, 25-26, 30-36, 41, 43, 45, 49-55, 60, 62, and 66-71 are allowable at least because they depend from base claims 62-65.

Claims 63, 64, and 65 are amended to replace the language, which is the subject of the rejection with alternative language to address the Examiner's concerns under Section 112. Applicant inserted the following new language: "the percentage of the multimedia presentation viewed by a requesting participant can be computed." For

example, the tables on pages 8 and 9 of the published application specify data fields for the system that include TalkPercent (the percentage of the talk that viewed), SlidesPercent (the percentage of slides that were viewed), and Duration (the amount of time spent viewing). Other portions of the specification also support this language such as paragraphs 27, 37, 57, 123, and 128. Accordingly, withdrawal of the Section 112 rejections are requested.

Claims 4, 10, 12-18, 23, 25-26, 28, 30-36, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49-55, 60, 62, and 66-89 are allowable at least because they depend on an allowable base claim.

III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing Amendment and Remarks, Applicants request reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims.

Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the Applicants' Attorney if issues are deemed to remain prior to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

5/22/08
Date


Pejman Sharifi (Reg. No. 45,097)

**WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
CUSTOMER NO. 28765
(212) 294-2603**